

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/008,210	11/08/2001	Masaaki Iwasaki	21334-1089	2163
75	90 04/28/2004		EXAMINER	
Tyco Technology Resources Suite 450			VU, HIEN D	
4550 New Linden Hill Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Wilmington, D	E 19808		2833	
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	1,1		
		10/008,210	IWASAKI, MASAAKI	V		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Hien D. Vu	2833			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication арр or Reply	pears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	(136(a). In no event, however, may a sy within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC a, cause the application to become	n reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communical ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 D	December 2003.				
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowardosed in accordance with the practice under <i>B</i>	•		is		
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5) <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>19-30</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>19-30</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	· ·	** *	* *		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in rity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachmen						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date			
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/008,210

Art Unit: 2833

1. Claims 19-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 19, lines 4-6, it is unclear how the bent portion could flex to allow the housing to move along a surface of the circuit board.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 19-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davis (5,540,598) in view of French (963) and Johnescu et al.

Insofar as the claims can be understood, Davis, French and Johnescu are applied as follows: Davis, Figs. 1-2 show an insulating housing 2, a plurality of contacts 20 each having contact portion for electrically connecting with mating contacts, a contact section (22, 36) having a bent portion which flexes to allow the housing to move along a surface of a circuit board 23, an alignment plate 28 having guide holes 31 and positioning posts 18. Davis does not show the positioning posts having ribs. Johnescu, Figs. 2B & 2C show positioning post 156 having ribs 158. It would have been obvious an obvious to one with skill in the art to modify the connector of Davis by providing the positioning posts with ribs, as taught by Johnescu, in order to secure the connector to the circuit board.

Application/Control Number: 10/008,210

Art Unit: 2833

As to claim 20, the bent portion 22 is arranged at an intermediate point along the length of the contact.

As to claims 21-23 a first set of contacts having a bent portion 36 larger than he bent portion 22 of a second set6 of contacts.

As to claim 25, Davis does not show the metal attachment 14 having features as described in claim 25. French (963), Fig. 1 show a metal attachment having features as described in claim 25. It would have been obvious to one with skill in the art to modify the connector of Davis by replacing the metal attachment with a metal attachment having features as described in claim 25, as taught by French, in order to provide better securing to the circuit board.

As to claim 26, the ribs 158 extend parallel to the posts.

As to claim 27, the posts extend further toward the circuit board than the contact sections.

As to claim 29, it would have been obvious to modify the guiding holes of Davis to have a shape of converges, as taught by Johnescu, in fig. 2C, in order to guide the contact sections.

As to claim 30, it would have been obvious to provide the alignment of Davis plate with latch arms, as taught by Johnescu in figs. 2A-2b, in order to secure the plate to the housing.

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 19-30 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/008,210

Art Unit: 2833

Page 4

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Hien D Vu at telephone number (571) 272-2016.

HIENVU PRIMARY EXAMINER